

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 457 561

EA 031 290

AUTHOR Chang, Juvenna  
TITLE Instructional Leadership in a Pacific Context. PREL Briefing Paper.  
INSTITUTION Pacific Resources for Education and Learning, Honolulu, HI.  
SPONS AGENCY Department of Education, Washington, DC.  
PUB DATE 2001-05-00  
NOTE 17p.  
CONTRACT ED02CO0024  
AVAILABLE FROM Pacific Resources for Education and Learning, 1099 Alakea Street, 25th Floor, Honolulu, HI 96813. Tel: 808-441-1300; Web site: <http://www.prel.org>.  
PUB TYPE Collected Works - Proceedings (021) -- Opinion Papers (120)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Administrator Characteristics; \*Administrators; Cultural Awareness; Cultural Context; Cultural Differences; Cultural Exchange; Cultural Pluralism; Culture Contact; Elementary Secondary Education; \*Instructional Leadership; Pacific Americans; \*Principals  
IDENTIFIERS \*Pacific Region

## ABSTRACT

Principals in the Pacific region face a variety of challenges that may be different from those in other regions. In October 2000, principals of Pacific schools were invited to attend a regional summit to address questions on instructional leadership. Participants listed characteristics they felt were important in a Pacific school instructional leader: able to be a compassionate leader, culturally sensitive to and respectful of other cultures, and culturally sensitive to student and teacher needs; able to assimilate cultures in the school/community context; open to multiple perspectives; respected by and respectful of the community; knowledgeable of the language and culture of the community; able to understand community values and social/cultural events; and willing to share in school leadership. Some identified barriers to effective instructional leadership as leadership without vision, lack of planning, communication breakdown at various levels, limited resources, lack of experience/understanding of school functions, and lack of readiness for beginning the school year. Recommendations include having service providers partner with principals to provide technical assistance/training and to validate effective practices, identifying quality trainers, having policymakers revisit educational and governmental mandates and policies and update them as needed, and having school boards concentrate on policymaking, not "micromanaging." (RT)

# PREL BRIEFING PAPER

May 2001



## PACIFIC RESOURCES FOR EDUCATION AND LEARNING

1099 Alakea Street ■ 25th Floor ■ Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813

Phone: (808) 441-1300 ■ Fax: (808) 441-1385

E-mail: askprel@prel.org ■ Website: www.prel.org

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

✓ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

□ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

## Instructional Leadership in a Pacific Context

By Juvenna Chang, Ed.D.\*

*If our schools are to improve, we must redefine the principal's role and move instructional leadership to the forefront. (Buffie, 1989)*

The role of the principal continues to be *key* to the improvement of schools (Heck & Marcoulides, 1993; Keller, 1998; Krug, 1993; Portin, Shen, & Williams, 1998). Reports of the "Effective Schools" research of the 1970s identified the *instructional leader* as one who provides direction, resources, and support to teachers and students. Instructional leadership was also noted as *the* most significant leadership dimension (Rossow, 1990). This dimension has altered the role of the principal by shifting the focus of the principal's responsibilities from operational management to instructional leadership.

The National Staff Development Council (NSDC) describes the additional focus that instructional leadership brings to the components of quality leadership: "Principals, as instructional leaders, focus on helping teachers improve their classroom performance and make academic instruction their school's top priority" (2000, p. 3). The NSDC highlights the characteristics of an *effective* instructional leader. These characteristics include the following:

- spending a lot of time in classrooms, observing teaching and encouraging high performance;
- tracking student test score results and other indicators of student learning to help teachers focus attention where it is most needed;
- focusing much time on staff development;
- challenging staff members to examine traditional assumptions about teaching;
- providing opportunities for teachers to share information and work together to plan curriculum and instruction.

Pacific principals may show strong support for the definition and characteristics of effective instructional leadership as noted above. However, to achieve this ideal level, these principals face a variety of challenges that may be different from those in other contexts. The Pacific principals may have to

\* Dr. Juvenna Chang is a PREL Scholar in the area of professional development with a focus on teacher education and leadership development.

come up with some unique approaches to reducing barriers to instructional leadership. They may also be able to offer additional components of quality leadership that would be effective in the Pacific region.

In October 2000, selected principals of Pacific schools were invited to attend a regional summit. These principals were from schools in American Samoa; the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI); the Federated States of Micronesia (FSM), including Chuuk, Kosrae, Pohnpei, and Yap; Guam; Hawai'i; the Republic of the Marshall Islands (RMI); and the Republic of Palau. This briefing paper reviews their discussions and offers their ideas for consideration by other principals, the different stakeholders, service providers, and policy makers. While it would be impossible to credit individually each participant's specific contributions to different discussions, it is vital to credit the group as a whole. A list of conference participants appears in Table 1.

**Table 1**  
**Participants in the Pacific Principals' Leadership Summit**

<b>American Samoa</b> Lagitaog Lagitaog, Jr. Lima Maino  <b>Chuuk</b> Sitango Dawe Joseph Kasian Norenis Pillias Shiano Pius  <b>Guam</b> Alice Borja Sylvia Calvo Juanita Castaneda Robert Lizama Arlina Potts Johnny Rivera Lourdes San Nicolas Norma Tabayoyong	<b>Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands</b> James Denight Evelyn Ooka Tina Tenorio  <b>Hawai'i</b> Mary Murakami  <b>Kosrae</b> Aliksa Killin Tulensa Sigras  <b>Palau</b> Wickliff Emull Esther Koshiba Raynold Mechol Lorenza Olkerii	<b>Pohnpei</b> Pernis K. Diopulos Hanover Ehsa Primo Loyola  <b>Republic of the Marshall Islands</b> Elmo Kabjor Hemlen Langmoir  <b>Yap</b> Margaret Margou Stan Retogral Jesse Salalu
--	---	---

## Background

### Federal Initiative and Summit

During July 24-26, 2000, the Office of the Secretary of Education convened a National Principals' Leadership Summit in Washington, D.C., to dialogue around the issue of the principal as instructional leader. Carole Kennedy, principal-in-residence in the Office of the Secretary of Education, coordinated this national event. The purpose of this summit was to create an opportunity for principals to inform the Department's thinking, planning, research agenda, and other activities relating to the principalship. In addition, attendees established a network of colleagues with whom they could interact on various leadership issues.

Participants were selected through the combined efforts of the National Association of Elementary School Principals, the National Association of Secondary School Principals, and the National Middle School Association. Selected participants included two principals from each of the 50 states, one principal each from Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam, and nine principals from the Rainwater Foundation, which cosponsored the event.

### **Regional Summit**

As a follow-up to this national activity, the Regional Educational Laboratory of Pacific Resources for Education and Learning (PREL) convened a Principals' Leadership Summit in Guam October 30-31, 2000. There are no chapters of national principal associations in the Pacific region outside of Hawai'i. Therefore, the chief state school officers (CSSOs) of participating entities were asked to select two principals to attend the regional summit, one each from the elementary and middle/secondary levels. Additional selection criteria included the following:

- that participants be current school principals with at least 3 years experience;
- that participants be recognized by staff, their peers, or both, as strong or outstanding school leaders;
- that participants be willing to engage in dialogue with representatives from other entities.

Participants for this regional event included 25 principals, 3 zone coordinators, 1 teacher leader, 1 principal intern, and 4 PREL staff members.

### **Identifying Instructional Leadership in the Pacific Region**

At the Regional Principals' Summit, principals addressed questions on instructional leadership similar to those posed at the national summit in Washington, D.C. Thirty-four participants were divided into four groups to address the following questions on two topics: "Where We Are" and "Moving to the Ideal." Discussions on "Where We Are" addressed the following questions:

1. How do we define Instructional Leadership (IL)?
2. What does IL look like in your school?
3. How would you define IL for the Pacific region?
4. What are the greatest barriers to IL?
5. What are some of the causes of these existing barriers?

Discussions on "Moving to the Ideal" explored these issues:

1. What must be done to overcome the barriers to IL?
2. Who needs to do it?
3. What are you willing to do?
4. What will the principalship need to look like to meet future educational challenges?
5. What are some recommendations for service providers?
6. What are the implications and recommendations for policy?

Highlights of each group's discussion are covered in the following sections. Group responses are organized into categories and reflect the content of the statements and frequency of responses.

### **Components of Instructional Leadership**

Buffie (1989, p. 82) identified knowledge, skills, and context as vital components in the development of instructional leadership. As Buffie (pp. 82-85) notes, knowledge is key to effective decision making. Knowledge is fundamental to the skill development necessary to carry out one's goals. Skills are needed to turn knowledge into action. Effective leaders recognize the role of knowledge and skills in the change process. Knowledge and skills are applied within the context of a set of beliefs or values. One's belief system is what serves as the foundation for decision making.

Responses by Pacific principals to the first two questions about the components of instructional leadership are categorized by component in Table 2. These questions focused on elements of instructional leadership, especially in Pacific schools. Responses were listed under *knowledge* and *skills* if they suggested what principals needed to know and be able to do. Responses under *context* suggested elements of the school environment.

**Table 2**  
**Knowledge, Skills, and Context of Principals as Instructional Leaders**

<b>Knowledge</b> The instructional leader should know or understand:	<b>Skills</b> The instructional leader should be able to:	<b>Context</b> The context should show evidence of:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What goes on in every classroom</li> <li>• How to assess entire school and expectations at various grade levels</li> <li>• Curriculum development, standards, accountability</li> <li>• As “captain of the ship,” the principal should know the trade inside out</li> <li>• All members of his/her staff</li> <li>• People’s strengths and areas for development</li> <li>• Learning activities to produce desired learner outcomes</li> <li>• Supervision models (e.g., clinical supervision)</li> <li>• Political dynamics in the community</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Facilitate</li> <li>• Mediate</li> <li>• Coordinate</li> <li>• Problem solve</li> <li>• Be empathetic</li> <li>• Be visionary</li> <li>• Take risks</li> <li>• Establish a good working relationship with teachers</li> <li>• Plan and coordinate curricular, social, and cultural diversity</li> <li>• Perform multiple tasks</li> <li>• Synthesize</li> <li>• Implement educational goals</li> <li>• Manage time effectively</li> <li>• Build effective Master Schedules</li> <li>• Support teachers in providing quality education for all students</li> <li>• Forge partnerships and garner resources</li> <li>• Nurture cooperation between schools and the communities they serve</li> <li>• Assess the needs and strengths of the school and the community</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Students’ learning</li> <li>• Effective discipline</li> <li>• Principal’s willingness to be the “jack of all trades”</li> <li>• Good instruction with a process for removing “bad” teaching and teachers</li> <li>• Adults talking with kids, watching them, listening to them, and learning from them</li> <li>• Teaching that addresses children’s ethnicity, culture, language, differences in learning styles, and why they act the way they act</li> <li>• Excitement, collaboration, empowerment of teachers and students</li> <li>• Community involvement and good customer service</li> <li>• Trust at all levels</li> <li>• Active community partnerships</li> </ul>

Listings were not meant to be all-inclusive; they emerged from discussions which took place within given time periods on the 2-day agenda. In addition, participants were not specifically asked to identify knowledge, skills, and the context of instructional leadership. These categories were selected as important components of instructional leadership and as a means of organizing the responses.

In a study of 800 teachers, Blase and Blase (2001) found that characteristics identified by teachers as key to effective instructional leadership fell under three major themes: talk with teachers; promote teachers' professional growth; and foster teacher reflection. Principals in the regional summit identified several items which spoke to one of these themes: *promoting teachers' professional growth*. Although the questions and purposes of these two activities were different, it is interesting to compare what teachers and principals say about promoting teachers' professional growth (see Table 3).

**Table 3**

**Comments From Teachers and Principals on Promoting Teachers' Professional Growth**

What Teachers Said Principals Should Do To Promote Teachers' Professional Growth	What Principals Said Principals Should Do To Promote Teachers' Professional Growth
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Emphasize the study of teaching and learning</li> <li>• Support collaboration; build a culture of collaboration</li> <li>• Develop coaching relationships</li> <li>• Use action research</li> <li>• Provide resources</li> <li>• Apply principles of adult growth and development to all phases of the staff-development program</li> <li>• Inform teachers of current trends and issues</li> <li>• Encourage attendance at workshops, seminars, and conferences</li> <li>• Use inquiry to drive staff development</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support and foster the teaching/learning process</li> <li>• Develop and lead staff development based on teacher needs</li> <li>• Fulfill the teacher role as needed</li> <li>• Help teachers to be more effective by providing constructive feedback</li> <li>• Provide direction and support for professional development</li> <li>• Conduct or assist in staff development</li> <li>• Attend professional-development activities with teachers and support teacher implementation</li> <li>• Support new teachers observing veteran teachers and vice versa to model different teaching strategies (i.e., coaching)</li> <li>• Learn from teachers</li> </ul>
Source: Blase & Blase, 1998.	Source: Principals' Summit Charted Responses 2000.

Both teachers and principals emphasized the need for supporting the teaching/learning process. Teachers supported the building of a culture of collaboration that may imply more of a collegial/peer relationship among teachers and principals. However, other responses on the teachers' list still invite a superior/subordinate relationship in that teachers expect their principals to coach, inform, encourage attendance, provide resources, etc.

The Pacific principals saw their leadership role as based on teachers' needs, providing direction, support, and feedback. One key observation is that Pacific principals saw themselves as much more



involved in the teachers' professional development. For instance, they noted that principals should attend professional-development activities with teachers in order to support the implementation of new learnings; conduct or assist in staff development; fulfill a teacher's role as needed; and learn from teachers. These responses suggest that the principal should serve as a facilitator, co-developer, technical-assistance provider, professional developer, and, at times, as a substitute teacher. These roles were also listed in Table 2 as skills necessary for instructional leadership. This collegial approach among teachers and principals is often observed in Pacific contexts. One of the cultural values of Pacific island groups is working together (i.e., building a canoe, preparing for a feast, funeral preparation and protocol, taking care of others' children).

Two Pacific leaders who were guest speakers on the topic of "What makes an effective leader from a government and business perspective?" offered a complementary perspective on leadership. Senator Vicente Pangelinan, Minority Leader in the 25th Guam Legislature, suggested that leadership involves four Ls: *Leadership*, *Look* (or be aware of your surroundings), *Listen*, and *Learn*. He gave the following advice:

- Don't push people around in order to move them in certain directions.
- Don't dominate situations; let others tell you what they see.
- Use two ears, one mouth—or listen twice as much as you talk.
- A leader has a great capacity to learn.

This legislator's motto as a public servant is *to be real* (i.e., make no pretenses, keep ego in check); *be tough* (i.e., tough enough to change and to compromise); and *be a leader* (i.e., make positive changes).

From a business perspective, Mr. Philip Flores, president and chairman of the board for a local bank, explained that if you are an effective leader, you can get "people to do what *you* want them to do because *they* want to do it." He also focused on leading by example and setting clear and measurable goals. Leaders must be committed to leading and to involving others. Hard work is at the core of this businessman's belief: "Do everything as if you want to make your parents proud."

### **Defining Instructional Leadership for the Pacific Region**

While describing instructional leadership for Pacific schools, participants listed characteristics they felt were important. As an instructional leader, the Pacific principal should be:

- a compassionate leader;
- culturally sensitive to and respectful of other cultures;
- culturally sensitive to student and teacher needs;
- able to assimilate cultures in the school/community context;
- open to multiple perspectives;
- "naturalistic" in teacher evaluation (i.e., a principal should use alternative methods of evaluating classroom teaching that recognize traditional teaching and learning methods);
- respected by and respectful of the community;
- knowledgeable of the language and culture of the community;
- able to understand community values, social/cultural events (i.e., obligations at family funerals), and flexible in making the necessary adjustments to the calendar or school schedule;
- willing to share in school leadership.

These characteristics reflect the importance that Pacific Islanders place on their respective cultural beliefs and traditions; they include cultural sensitivity, respect for tradition, and strong positive interpersonal relationships. As a people, Pacific Islanders tend to avoid confrontation, show respect for

authority, speak languages other than English, and maintain many traditional practices. Knowing these characteristics can assist instructional leaders in guiding their schools and involving their communities. Furthermore, this knowledge will help to more appropriately define the role of instructional leader for Pacific schools.

In an effort to define instructional leadership for the Pacific region, discussion groups offered a number of creative descriptions. Since it would be difficult to arrive at one succinct and comprehensive definition, each definition is offered in turn.

A representative from Pohnpei State in the FSM shared the following acronym, which was developed by school leaders in Pohnpei, to describe the characteristics of an effective leader:

L – Listener  
E – Educator  
A – Assessor  
D – Disciplinarian  
E – Evaluator  
R – Researcher  
S – Servant  
H – Helper  
I – Inspirer  
P – Pioneer

Two interesting characteristics are *servant* and *helper*. The concept of “servant leadership” has been embraced by some leaders in the Pacific. Robert Greenleaf, grandfather of the modern empowerment movement in business leadership, has researched and presented on the concept of servant leadership since the 1970s. This style of leadership requires the following:

[Servant leaders] have a natural desire to serve first. . . . [they] listen to, respond to, and support employees. They remove barriers and obstacles which would prevent employees from growing as individuals and performing well in the workplace. They see . . . that opportunities for personal and professional growth are readily available to employees. (Bounds, 1998, p. 2)

This leadership style has implications for leaders in the Pacific who see themselves as instructional leaders who facilitate, advocate, nurture, collaborate, and serve as stewards of the vision shared by the school community. Besides thinking about servant leadership, how would principals in other parts of the nation describe leadership?

Here is an abridged version of another group’s definition:

An instructional leader in the Pacific is a person with vision who is able to assess the needs of his/her school/community. He/she is able to articulate his/her vision into a plan of action in which all parties can participate and feel a sense of ownership that will enable quality learning to go on in the learner. In doing so, this leader must be knowledgeable of the curriculum, culturally sensitive to his/her community, and able to demonstrate total commitment to his/her teachers and staff. This commitment will empower staff to be agents of change in their own way, where each individual can build self-esteem, and where all components become part of the whole, thereby giving life to the vision and the impetus to arrive there.

Elements of instructional leadership depicted in these and other group definitions are supported by research. An instructional leader:

- is a visionary (Buffie, 1989);



- articulates vision to others (Sergiovanni in McREL, 2000);
- creates vision and builds consensus around goals (in Stolp & Smith, 1997);
- is knowledgeable about curriculum (Mojkowski, 2000; NSDC, 2000);
- is highly visible in classrooms (NSDC, 2000; Smith & Andrews, 1989).

The focal point on instructional leadership throughout the research as well as in discussions by Pacific principals was the emphasis on improving student learning (Keller, 1998; NSDC, 2000; Parker & Day, 1997).

Consideration for the affective side of leadership and sensitivity to cultural values, beliefs, and contexts were identified by the Pacific principals as important. These characteristics did not seem to receive the same emphasis in the research on instructional leadership. Besides gaining knowledge and skills in instructional leadership, Pacific principals also emphasized the need for instructional leaders to know the language and culture of the community and to show flexibility toward social/cultural activities as they relate to school calendars and schedules.

### Barriers to Instructional Leadership

Following the identification of elements and characteristics of instructional leadership, especially for the Pacific context, principals considered some of the barriers that exist in their respective contexts. Some of the examples they gave were site-specific, while others were generic across the Region.

**Table 4**  
**Barriers to Instructional Leadership**

IDENTIFIED BARRIERS	EXAMPLES
<b>Knowledge/Skills</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of the necessary knowledge and skills</li> <li>• Lack of qualified staff</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limited training and education</li> <li>• Limited experience</li> <li>• Teachers with high school diplomas, AA/AS degrees</li> <li>• BA/BS in field other than education</li> <li>• Teachers teaching outside field of study</li> </ul>
<b>Context</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Leadership attrition</li> <li>• Insufficient time</li> <li>• Multiple roles and responsibilities</li> <li>• Geographic isolation</li> <li>• Individual and group self-esteem, pride, etc.</li> <li>• Information and communication overload</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Constant change in leadership</li> <li>• Paperwork overload</li> <li>• Special education demands are far too time-consuming</li> <li>• Too many reports</li> <li>• Too many extra-curricular activities</li> <li>• Work overload (e.g., many principals don't have assistant principals; there is too much management work, such as problem solving and crisis resolution that <i>must</i> be done by the principal)</li> <li>• Must teach classes when there are no substitutes</li> <li>• Principals are "teachers/principals"</li> <li>• Limited access to professional development (e.g., technology and coursework)</li> <li>• High cost of travel</li> <li>• Teachers don't cooperate</li> <li>• Leaders have <i>no</i> respect because they do not have the power and resources to solve the problems faced by the school and its personnel</li> <li>• Too much mail, e-mail, communication that has to come from the principal and through the principal to others</li> </ul>

**Table 4 (continued)**

IDENTIFIED BARRIERS	EXAMPLES
<b>Community</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cultural incongruence with contemporary demands</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Traditional mores and practice may be in conflict with what the school is trying to do</li> <li>Cultural sensitivity/awareness</li> </ul>
<b>Political/Legal</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Legal/Contractual limitations</li> <li>Conflicting priorities among decision makers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teacher contracts</li> <li>Teachers' unions (unions protect poor teachers)</li> <li>Grievances, lawsuits, problems with media</li> <li>Principals spend a lot of time in hearings and in court, often without legal assistance</li> <li>Priorities of educators may differ from those of political leaders</li> <li>Political interference and external interruptions</li> <li>Mandates and policies</li> <li>Central office staff lack empathy for principals' work because they don't fully understand school operations/activities</li> <li>Government agency policies are not user-friendly; they keep principals too busy</li> <li>DOE is <i>unresponsive</i> to principals' needs</li> </ul>
<b>Professional Development</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited access to quality professional development</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lack of mentors (principals and assistant principals need mentors and support as they learn their roles in the school)</li> <li>High cost of travel</li> <li>Limited technology to access online professional development</li> </ul>
<b>Resources</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited resources</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers have a short workday because the government can't meet the payroll</li> <li>In some places, there are no substitutes or the substitute pool is very limited so that principals often have to teach classes</li> <li>In some schools, when teachers are sick, classes are cancelled and children are sent home</li> <li>Insufficient facilities, equipment, supplies</li> <li>No vice principal</li> </ul>
<b>Lack of Incentives/Rewards</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Salaries (teachers who teach the day program and run after-school programs make more money than principals)</li> <li>Incentives (there should be a financial incentive for principals/assistant principals to accept leadership positions—instead, some teachers can make more money teaching with a <i>fraction</i> of the <i>responsibility</i> required of principals)</li> <li>Principals and assistant principals are punished (reassigned) without warning/notice/consent/prior knowledge</li> <li>DOE issues gag orders to keep principals from talking and exposing the reality of the problems they face</li> <li>The punishments have resulted in low morale and distrust</li> </ul>

The list of responses shows some evidence of the extreme range of barriers to instructional leadership across the Region. In Hawai'i and Guam, almost all teachers have bachelor's degrees, although not all of these degrees are in the field of education. Other entities have a higher incidence of teachers who have only a high school diploma or associate's degree or a BA/BS degree in a field outside of education, or who are assigned to content areas outside their fields of expertise. Of the 10 entities, Hawai'i is the only one that has organized teachers' and principals' unions. Hawai'i also faces a court-mandated deadline to bring all K-12 public schools into compliance with special-education laws. Staff are finding that the efforts necessary to bring schools into compliance are very time-consuming (Keesing, 2001).

Although Hawai'i may be considered isolated by its counterparts on the continental U.S., its geographic location still allows for greater access to travel and to electronic communication than other parts of the Pacific region. Travelers from American Samoa and Micronesia pass through Hawai'i on a regular basis. Hawai'i is only 4 1/2 hours from the west coast of the continental U.S. by air; American Samoa is 9 hours away, while flights from Guam take 12 1/2 hours. In some remote areas of Micronesia, travel access is limited to ships that come into port only once a month. The high cost of air travel both within and outside the Pacific region is another limiting factor (roundtrip airfare from Hawai'i to Guam is \$1,400). Electronic communication is limited or nonexistent where there is no electricity or no Internet access. The E-rate has increased Internet access in American Samoa, the CNMI, Guam, and Hawai'i, but the FSM, the RMI, and the Republic of Palau are not eligible for this discounted service.

Schools and communities may have conflicting expectations; differences may be culturally based. For example, obligations for family funerals may create conflicting demands on teachers. In Micronesia, when someone dies, each family member is expected to attend a series of events, which may continue for several days. Where there are no substitute teachers, classes may be dismissed until the teacher returns. The school expects teachers to be in their classrooms during the school day. If teachers fulfill their obligations to the school, they may be dishonoring their families. If they fulfill their obligations to their families, their jobs and students may be in jeopardy.

Decisions made in the political arena may impact leader attrition. In many entities, the chief state school officer is appointed by the governor. This often means that when the governor changes, so does the chief state school officer. These changes in leadership often trickle down to the school level, impacting principal assignments and stability in leadership for the schools.

### **Some Causes of Identified Barriers**

Principals attributed other causes of existing barriers to their districts, states, or entity leadership. These included:

- leadership without vision (reactive rather than proactive);
- lack of planning (unrealistic deadlines for submitting reports);
- top-down management with limited input from principals;
- communication breakdown at various levels;
- conflicting expectations and priorities;
- limited resources (e.g., teacher shortages, not enough classrooms or support for professional development);
- slow processing of materials and requests;
- lack of experience/understanding of school functions;
- lack of readiness for beginning the school year (i.e., ordered textbooks not available at beginning of school).

## Moving Toward the Ideal

The following recommendations emerged from discussions to reduce barriers and move toward an ideal context that supports principals as instructional leaders.

*Encourage principals to build knowledge and acquire skill.* Principals felt that formal education should ensure that principals are prepared for curriculum development, clinical supervision, negotiation, assessment, and systemic change. The more informal professional-development opportunities need to go beyond the “one-shot” (one-time intensive workshop) or “shot-gun” (several unrelated workshops) offerings. Instead, professional development needs to be sustained over time and focus on principals’ priority areas of need. One of these areas is to build the knowledge and skills necessary to support the emphasis on leadership for improved student learning.

*Articulate professional-development offerings with post-secondary programs.* As appropriate, review credit opportunities for training that could be applied to a degree or certificate program (e.g., AA, BA, professional certificate). As with professional development for teachers in the Pacific region, leadership workshops are often not articulated with any other post-secondary education program and therefore do not lead to a higher level degree. A guided comprehensive program may result in many more teachers achieving a post-secondary degree.

*Establish a mentoring program for new principals.* Traditionally, it has been noted that the best learning takes place when the learner is in a relationship with one who can teach. This has usually referred to some type of superior-subordinate relationship such as parent-child, teacher-student, supervisor-trainee, etc. Pacific principals identified unique learning opportunities, which do not necessarily involve a superior-subordinate relationship but a collegial one by which peers learn from each other (e.g., Principal Exchange, Adopt-a-Peer, site visitation).

*Establish a process for recruiting and screening potential leaders.* School systems in the Pacific region should realize the importance of filling the pipeline with effective school leaders by supporting recruitment and retention efforts. Minimum qualifications that reflect higher standards should be established, such as:

- a BA/BS degree in education or related field;
- 5-10 years experience as a classroom teacher;
- highly developed skills in communications and interpersonal relations.

Principals also noted their responsibility to identify and recruit potential administrators from across their teacher ranks.

*Recognize the professionalism of principals.* The need for trust was a repeated cry from the Pacific principals. Pacific principals need to be recognized as professionals who can make decisions in a system that supports them. Principals also recognized that, as professionals, they need to make personal commitments to grow professionally. These commitments may include:

- establishing an administrators’ association for principals in the Pacific region, since there are no existing chapters of any of the national associations for principals;
- convening and establishing a network of principals within their respective entities as well as across the Pacific region;
- convening principals at annual Pacific Educational Conferences;
- setting up electronic communications among principals (e.g., listservs and other electronic forums);
- establishing an alumni organization of principals who can serve as mentors for principals, as lobbyists for educational issues, and as a public relations liaison with the community.

*Create appropriate rewards and incentives for school leaders.* Research supports the need to pay principals higher salaries and to give them more authority. Examples given by principals confirm that at times principals make salaries comparable to those of experienced teachers, which provides little incentive for teachers to become principals. Incentives could include, but should not be limited to, monetary rewards. Other motivators could include supporting attendance at conferences, peer recognition, assisting professional development, and providing travel opportunities and released time.

*Showcase positive aspects of schooling.* Principals supported pushing the “positives” platform by accentuating the positive every time an opportunity arises to turn the bad-news reporting around. They felt that principals should be more assertive in asking the broadcast media to provide airtime for the purpose of highlighting school activities within their respective communities. Many principals felt that knowing how to work with the media was an area of need for professional development. A partnership with the media could be mutually beneficial to both schools and the media. A resulting product could be a guidebook on public relations for schools that could assist school leaders in working with the media.

To accomplish the ideal, conference participants generated a few ideas to support instructional leadership at the school, district- and central-office levels, as well as in the community.

#### *Supporting Instructional Leadership at the School Level*

- Create instructional leadership in every school.
- Use existing structures to develop ongoing staff development in critical-need areas.
- Establish step-by-step processes to overcome major barriers (e.g., protocols, politics, cultural differences) and build support from key groups.
- Take leadership in creating a plan of action with stakeholders (e.g., school board, staff, school/community).

#### *Supporting Instructional Leadership at the District- and Central-Office Levels*

- Clarify school-governance parameters so that principals know what they have the authority to do.
- Plan for periodic meetings with the chief state school officer and board of education and not only in times of crisis.
- Convene roundtable discussions among policy makers and school principals on a regular basis.
- Guarantee quality and results—evaluate principals more effectively.
- Provide funds to support principal training and include these in the budget.
- Send “specialty” teams who can accomplish a certain “task” for all schools.

#### *Community Support for Instructional Leadership*

- Instructional leaders need to be more visible in the community.
- The business community can recognize/sponsor DOE activities/functions.
- Convene forums for policy makers and school principals.
- Mobilize parents so that they will become more actively involved in the schools.

In addition to the above considerations for stakeholders, the Pacific principals proposed the following recommendations to service providers and policy makers in the Pacific region.

### *Recommendations for Service Providers*

- Partner with school principals to provide technical assistance/training and to validate effective practices.
- Identify quality trainers.
- Partner with entity to developing training that increases instructional leaders' effectiveness and results in certification.
- Establish professional-development centers in major hubs throughout the Region.
- Visit school principals on site.

### *Recommendations for Policy Makers*

- Revisit educational and governmental mandates and policies and update them as needed.
- Include a principal representative on boards of education.
- Provide information to law and policy makers on how the educational system should work in order to ensure that student achievement is not compromised.
- Pass legislation to require that textbook requests are processed in a timely manner and received prior to the opening of school.
- To ensure quality education and school safety, pass legislation to ensure that teacher requirements are points of law and not options in teacher contracts.
- Make it mandatory to allocate a certain percentage of the state budget to education; earmark part of the allocation for staff development.
- Boards should *concentrate* on policy making and not "micro-manage."

Through these recommendations, principals called for support from various groups at different levels of the school/community. While principals hoped to gain support from others, they also voiced their personal commitment to effecting change in school leadership for the Pacific region. The following statements reflect what these Pacific principals are willing to do and also what they expect from the system in terms of support for effective instructional leadership:

- We are willing to put our jobs on the line.
- We support high standards and tough certification requirements.
- We accept evaluation of our work based on the improvement of our schools.
- We expect renewable contracts with monetary recognition/compensation for results.
- We expect the support and resources necessary to accomplish the work.
- We expect stability in the systems.
- We expect to be trusted.

### **Conclusion**

The regional summit gave principals from Pacific island schools an initial opportunity to focus on school leadership issues with their peers and colleagues. Highlights of their discussions show that Pacific principals share many common barriers to instructional leadership with principals nationwide. They also noted barriers that were unique to the Pacific, such as cultural incongruence with contemporary demands. Pacific principals showed a strong commitment to move their systems toward instructional leadership through their recommendations. There was a call from this group for continued networking and communication. Some ideas are to create an electronic listserv, convene networking sessions at the annual Pacific Educational Conferences, and collaboratively plan professional-development activities for school leaders. Pacific principals are also interested in establishing a Pacific chapter of the National Associations of Principals.

As Pacific educators, we may be able to answer the universal questions on schooling, but we also need to continue to ask our own questions as to what makes us instructional leaders in a Pacific con-



text. What cultural values do we bring to our leadership of Pacific schools? How do we build on a professional as well as an indigenous knowledge base to be more effective leaders? That which is unique to the cultures of the Pacific should be valued and respected, while we continue to address those challenges that may also be unique to the Pacific. The focal point of the principal's leadership is to increase student achievement by improving teaching and learning. The Pacific principals see instructional leadership as necessary to accomplishing this goal.

---

## References

- Blase, J., & Blase, J. (1998). *Handbook of instructional leadership: How really good principals promote teaching and learning*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Blase, J., & Blase, J. (2001). *Empowering teachers* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Bounds, G. (1998, August 31). Servant leadership: A model that can pay great dividends. *Dallas Business Journal* [Online]. Available: <http://dallas.bcentral.com/dallas/stories/1998/08/31/smallb4.html>
- Buffie, E. G. (1989). The principal and leadership. In P. D. K. International (Ed.), *Readings on leadership in education* (pp. 79-88). Bloomington, IN: Phi Delta Kappa Educational Foundation.
- Felix consent decree details. (2001, January 5). *The Honolulu Advertiser*, p. B1.
- Heck, R. H., & Marcoulides, G. A. (1993, May). Principal leadership behaviors and school achievement. *NASSP Bulletin*, 77, 20-28.
- Keesing, A. (2001, January 5). *The Honolulu Advertiser*, pp. B1, B4.
- Keller, B. (1998, November 11). Principal matters. *Education Week*.
- Krug, S. E. (1993). Leadership craft and the crafting of school leaders. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 75, 240-244.
- Mid-continent Research for Education & Learning. (2000, March). *Leadership for school improvement*. Aurora, CO: McREL.
- Mojkowski, C. (2000, February). The essential role of principals in monitoring curriculum implementation. *NASSP Bulletin*, 84(613), 76-83.
- National Staff Development Council. (2000, December). *Learning to lead, leading to learn*. Oxford, OH: Author.
- Parker, S. A., & Day, V. P. (1997, March). Promoting inclusion through instructional leadership: The roles of the secondary school principal. *NASSP Bulletin*, 81, 83-89.
- Portin, B. S., Shen, J., & Williams, R. C. (1998, February). The changing principalship and its impact: Voices from principals. *NASSP Bulletin*, 82, 1-8.
- Rossow, L. F. (1990). *The principalship*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Smith, W., & Andrews, R. (1989). *Instructional leadership: How principals make a difference*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD) Press.

Stolp, S., & Smith, S. C. (1997). Cultural leadership. In S. C. Smith & P. K. Piele (Eds.), *School leadership handbook for excellence* (pp. 157-178). Eugene: University of Oregon, Clearinghouse on Educational Management.





**U.S. Department of Education**  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## **NOTICE**

### **Reproduction Basis**



This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.



This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").